

## **Saving 1,000 years of African history : there is no evidence of a population collapse in Congo rainforest from 400 to 600 CE – 1. The radiocarbon dates.**

Bernard Clist, James Denbow, Pierre Giresse, Maria Piedad de Jesus, Raymond Lanfranchi, Jean Maley and Christophe Mbida Mindzié.

**Response to the published paper** “Seidensticker (D.), Hubau (W.), Verschuren (D.), Fortes-Lima (C.), de Maret (P.), Schlebusch (C.M.) & Bostoen (K.), 2021, Population collapse in Congo rainforest from 400 CE urges reassessment of the Bantu Expansion, *Science Advances*, Published online: 12 February 2021, Vol. 7, no. 7.”

**Submitted to *Science Advances* on 14 March 2021, published 13 May 2021**

Seidensticker et al.’s interesting study uses “an integrated multi-proxy approach” that combines radiocarbon dates and pottery styles. The authors lump all pottery-using communities into an Iron Age, dismissing any idea of a “Stone to Metal Age” or “Neolithic”. Iron, in fact, is widespread only from about 2,000 years ago (1). They also impose a sharp distinction between Early (EIA) and Late Iron Ages (LIA) not generally favoured by other researchers. What is more, their 11 ‘regions’ are determined by modern day international borders (‘regions’ A to D, Cameroon, Gabon & Equatorial Guinea, Congo, western Democratic Republic of Congo or DRC), or specific research programs (‘regions’ E to H, and J). The last ‘region’, I, is a catch-all that groups a few sites in Angola but omits 20 dates from Mbanza Kongo. The only ‘region’ making sense is K, Bioko Island, part of modern Equatorial Guinea. Each of the ‘regions’ is claimed to have been studied by archeologists who applied similar strategies and ceramic analyses: this is simply incorrect. We question, for instance, the association of dates hundreds of kilometers apart which lack cultural homogeneity, especially in ‘regions’ A (Cameroon) and C (Congo and western DRC).

An important study argues that a statistically significant survey needs at least 100 <sup>14</sup>C for a given geographical sub-set to adequately reflect major trends in settlement intensity (2). Since that study, other researchers have argued for a minimum of 200 <sup>14</sup>C dates: “summed probability plots based on less than 200-500 radiocarbon dates should be treated as provisional and likely to change appreciably once larger datasets become available” (3). Multiple publications worldwide have also discussed the problems in using dates to estimate populations (e.g. 3 & 4).

Seidensticker et al.’s “transparent classification system” for <sup>14</sup>C dates leads to 1,149 dates “considered to be reliable” (Class I, subdivided into Ia to Id). We consider Class Id (n=90) identical to Class IIc: dates not associated with any archeological material. In the remaining 1,059 Class I dates (due to their context several should not be labeled Class I), we find 399 from zone A (Cameroon), 196 from zone B (Gabon and Equatorial Guinea), 205 from zone C (Congo and DRC), 88 from zone D (Congo, DRC, CAR), 28 from zone E (Congo), 32 from zone F (DRC), 28 from zone G (DRC), 9 from zone H (DRC), 8 from zone I (Angola), 53 from zone J (DRC) and 13 from zone K (Equatorial Guinea, Bioko Island). Statistically, most zones do not reach the minimum requirements, especially zones D to K, and illustrate rather a lack of research. Only zone A, with 399 dates (51% come from only 11 hilltops dotted over southern Cameroon) and zones B and C, with about 200 dates each, are relevant; but we find in A, 1 dated site per 2,876 km<sup>2</sup>, in B, 1 dated site per 2,937 km<sup>2</sup>, and in C, 1 dated site per 2,188 km<sup>2</sup>. Several large-scale studies exist of the growing corpus of <sup>14</sup>C dates from Central Africa (5). These studies, however, were not up to statistical standards, and their context was not systematically verified. In Seidensticker et al.’s Table S1, for instance, we find the same weaknesses. For example, 12 dates ranging over 1,000 years come from a single ancient pit at Oliga (Yaoundé, Cameroon), and 2 dates come from an old termite mound at Otoumbi 2

(Gabon) (6), while the authors ignore papers by L. Digombe and P. Schmidt that give the contexts of the EIA dates from Moanda, Gabon. The best context is often ancient pits. Exceptional cases can yield up to 12, as at Oliga, but usually the numbers range from 1 to 3, leading to another discrepancy in the ‘good’ dates: they artificially boost the number of EIA dates from areas A and D-F. Eliminating multiple dates from a single feature, probably the result of a single historical event, reduces the Ia to Ic assays in specific ‘regions’, and in turn reduces the difference between the EIA and LIA. Multiple dates from one feature makes sense from an archaeological perspective, but not for past demography. What is the demographic significance of the 20 14C dates from as many features at the 16th – 18th centuries Ngongo Mbata town (DRC), the 27 14C assays from 17 features at the EIA Campo (Cameroon) site, and the Okala site (Gabon) with 9 dates from as many features and 3 separate and successive Neolithic villages (7) ? It is the range of dated features, not the number of dates, that is important. Consequently, the radiocarbon evidence does not support Seidensticker et al.’s conclusions.

We argue that the more complex or vast a site, the more excavated surface is needed along with more dates. The high numbers, however, will not necessarily be linked to past demography, but to excavation strategy and the research questions under investigation. The link to research design is well documented throughout sub-Saharan Africa (8).

We do find a deficit of 14C dates from 1400 BP through the LIA, even though the number of recorded sites is much higher than for the EIA. This is supported by the genetics of Gabonese people mentioned by Seidensticker et al., suggesting a population increase after the 11th century. Rather than a hiatus between the EIA and LIA, the lack of empirical data for the LIA is to be linked to academic disinterest, differing fieldwork practice, soil preservation conditions, and a low demography suggested by historical and ethnographical accounts from Central Africa all pointing to low populations densities (9).

Available data do therefore not support a ‘population collapse in Congo rainforest from 400 CE’, especially when only western Central Africa (‘regions’ A to C) has barely sufficient evidence. We need more and better datasets to determine the best hypothesis: “Only further archaeological research will make it possible to know if we are indeed confronted with a large-scale demographic phenomenon or if the current void is still the result of a lack of research.” (10: 457).

(1) de Maret (P.), 2018, Les royaumes Kongo et Luba, cultures et sociétés dans le bassin du Congo, In Fauvelle (F.-X.) dir., De l'Acacus au Zimbabwe, 20.000 avant notre ère - XVIIe siècle, Belin, Paris, pp.311-341.

(2) Wotzka (H.-P.), 2006, Records of activity: radiocarbon and the structure of Iron Age settlement in Central Africa, in Wotzka (H.-P.) éd., Grundlegungen. Beiträge zur europäischen und afrikanischen Archäologie für Manfred K.H. Eggert, Francke Attempto Verlag GmbH and Co. KG, Tübingen, pp.271-289.

(3) Williams (A.N.). 2012. The use of summed radiocarbon probability distributions in archaeology: a review of methods. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 39: 578–589 (581).

(4) Chaput (M.A.) & Gajewski (C.). 2016. Radiocarbon dates as estimates of ancient human population size. *Anthropocene*, 15: 3-12.

(5) Oslisly (R.), White (L.), Bentaleb (I.), Favier (C.), Fontugne (M.), Gillet (J.-F.) & Sebag (D.), 2013, Climatic and cultural changes in the west Congo Basin forests over the past 5000 years, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, Biological Sciences*, 368: 20120304; Garcin (Y.), Deschamps (P.), Mènot (G.), de Saulieu (G.), Schefuss (E.), Sebag (D.), Dupont (L.M.), Oslisly (R.), Brademann (B.), Mbusnum (K.G.), Onana (J.-M.), Ako (A.A.), Epp (L.S.), Tjallingii (R.), Strecker (M.), Brauer (A.) & Sachse (D.), 2018, Early anthropogenic

- impact on Western Central African rainforests 2,600 y ago, PNAS: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, February 26, 2018, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1715336115>.
- (6) Clist (B.), 2012, Vers une réduction des préjugés et la fonte des antagonismes: un bilan de l'expansion de la métallurgie du fer en Afrique sud-saharienne, *Journal of African Archaeology*, 10, 1, pp.71-84.
- (7) Ngongo Mbata: Clist (B.), de Maret (P.) & Bostoen (K.) eds., 2018, Une archéologie des provinces septentrionales du royaume Kongo, Archaeopress, Oxford ; Campo: Eggert (M.K.H.) & Seidensticker (D.), 2016, Campo: archaeological research at the mouth of the Ntem river (South Cameroon), Köln: Heinrich Barth Institut; Okala: Clist (B.), 1997, Le site d'Okala, Province de l'Estuaire, Gabon et son importance pour la compréhension du passage à la sédentarisation en Afrique Centrale, *Comptes rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris, série Sciences de la terre et des planètes*, tome 325, pp.151-156.
- (8) Cahen (D.), Moeyersons (J.) & Mook (W.G.), 1983, Radiocarbon dates from Gombe point (Kinshasa, Zaïre) and their implications, in Mook (W.G.) & Waterbolk (H.T.), eds., *Proceedings of the first international symposium : 14c and archaeology*, Groningen 1981, Conseil de l'Europe, Strasbourg, pp.441-452; Franke (G.), 2016, A chronology of the central Nigerian Nok culture – 1500 BC to the beginning of the Common Era, *Journal of African Archaeology*, 14, 3, pp. 257-289; Lupo (K.D.), Schmitt (D.N.), Ndanga (J-P.), Ngueredede (L.P.), Amaye (G.T.), Livingstone Smith (A.), Edwards (N.M.), Power (R.C.), Young (D.C.) & Npo (F.), 2021, Hunter-gatherers on the basin's edge: a preliminary look at Holocene human occupation of Nangara-Komba Shelter, Central African Republic, *Azania: Archaeological Research in Africa*, 56. Published online: 13 Jan 2021; Clist (B.), 2018, Dates radiocarbone et leur contexte, In Clist (B.), de Maret (P.) & Bostoen (K.) (eds.), *Une archéologie des provinces septentrionales du royaume Kongo*, Archaeopress, Oxford, pp.231-241; Huffman (Th.), 2007, *Handbook to the Iron Age. The Archaeology of Pre-Colonial Farming Societies in Southern Africa*, University of KwaZulu-Natal Press, Scottsville.
- (9) Clist (B.), Bostoen (K.), de Maret (P.), Eggert (M.K.H.), Höhn (A.), Mbida (C.), Neumann (K.) & Seidensticker (D.), 2018, Did human activity really trigger the late Holocene rainforest crisis in Central Africa?, PNAS: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA, [www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1805247115](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1805247115).
- (10) de Maret (P.), Clist (B.) & Bostoen (K.), 2018, Regards croisés sur le royaume Kongo, In Clist (B.), de Maret (P.) & Bostoen (K.) (eds.), *Une archéologie des provinces septentrionales du royaume Kongo*, Archaeopress, Oxford, pp.455-460.